$(check \square only one box for each question) = \frac{ERAL PROCESSING}{NTS}$	Environmental Compliance
COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST	
INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	
AIRS ID#: 7775249 DATE: 07/22/2011 ARRIVE: 11:00 A. M DEPAI	RT: <u>12:00 P.M.</u>
FACILITY NAME: STEVEN COUNTS CLIFTON MINE	
FACILITY LOCATION:4202 NW 27TH AVE	
OCALA 34475	
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Mr. Mike Kirby PHONE: (352)266 Email: Mike Kirby [MKirby@scipaveit.com] Mobile: CONTACT NAME: Mr. Mike Kirby PHONE: (352)266 Email: Mike Kirby [MKirby@scipaveit.com] PHONE: (352)266 ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 7/3/2009 / 7/3/2014 Mobile: (352)266 (effective date) (end date) Mobile: (352)266	-1173
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box)	
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COM	MPLIANCE
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check 🗹 only one
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s):	(check 🗹 only one box for each question)
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s):	box for each question)
 Name(s) of facility representative(s): Brief Notes: Is the Authorized Representative still STEVEN COUNTS? 	box for each question)
 Name(s) of facility representative(s): Brief Notes: Is the Authorized Representative still STEVEN COUNTS?	box for each question)

Emissions Unit Section
1 -NMMP Plant-crusherw/425 hpRIC diesel engine,400T/hr capacity

		(check 🗹	only one
		box for each	question)
<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majori is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Grani Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo	ty te, ! Gravel; Salt;	
	and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	Kernite,	
2. 3.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill? Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No □No □No
If	 crusher, grinding mill, bucket elevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station; crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} 		
su	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Yes	No
	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	No
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour) ?	Yes	No
	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	Yes	No

-				
9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,			
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?		Yes	□No
	<i>{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or</i>		103	
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operate	d		
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material			
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the surface moisture because the surface moisture and the surf			
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wett	ea		
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}			
10				
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line			
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		• 7	
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?		Yes	No
	<i>(Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract</i>			
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic			
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface			
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material			
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by			
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}			
- 0				
	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to			
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.			
If	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.			
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?			
11	. When was the 120 last constructed, mounted, of reconstructed.			
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?		Yes	No
<i>If</i>	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20			
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,	_		_
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		Yes	No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19			
-J	answer to guestion ie is 110° stup ine jonowing questions and go an eeup to guestion 17			
14	.Initial Tests:			
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of			
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	\square	Yes	🗌 No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	_	Yes	No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?		Yes	No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	=	Yes	No
15	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not			
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:			
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of			
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	\square	Yes	No No
	$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the } A$			
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from			
	one or more affected EUs.}			
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?		Yes	No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	_	Yes	\square No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?		Yes	\square No
1	a. were find a region consistent for hon-vent building openings less than of equal to 7% opacity?		105	

1 -	-NMMP	Plant-crusherw/425	hpRIC	diesel	engine.	400T/hr	capacity

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin	19	
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or	0	
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,		
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	Yes	🗌 No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's	—	
instructions?	Yes	L.No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the		
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ?	∐ Yes	L.No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate. }		
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		
the discharge spray nozzles?		
b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?		
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,		
recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	□No
recorded in the written of electronic togobok as required by 40 CrK 00.070(0)?		NO
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following		
questions and go directly to Question 24.		
questions and go allectry to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures,		
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	□ Yes	No
riodus, runs, dumpers, etc.) to cupture and transport particulate matter to a control device.	105	
21. Initial Tests:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	□ No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	T Yes	No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	□No
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	No

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any		and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with em					
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfor	rmed on each vent contro	ol device within 180 days of		_	_
initial startup of the EU?		NA	/A	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
{A "vent" is any opening through wh	ich there is mechanicall	y induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a building	g air carrying particulat	e matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}					
b. Was the EU found to be in compli-	ance with the PM limit of	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		Yes	No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from	m non-vent building ope	enings less than or equal to 7%	opacity?	Yes	No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control er	nissions from the EU?			Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maint			,		
a. a device for the continuous measure	*	oss of the gas stream through the	د		
scrubber and the device has bee					
instructions?				Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device n			1 in +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pre		handhaetarer to be accurate with	III + 250		
and					
b. a device for the continuous measure	rement of the scrubbing	liquid flow rate to the wet scrub	ober and the		
device has been calibrated on ar				Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device n					
of design scrubbing liquid flow	•				
24. When was the last VE test conducte	ed by the owner/operat	or for this EU?			
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60			vears?	Yes	No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart		I I I I	,		
i. has the EU been tested during		ndar years?		Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet wi				T Yes	No
		2		—	_
25. Was a VE test conducted by the ow	<i>ner/operator</i> for this ur	nit during this site visit?		Yes	No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro				Yes	No
Rate:	1				
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ing to EPA Method 9? -			Yes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity					
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp				Yes	No
	1 2	×		—	_
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>ins</i>	<i>pector</i> for this unit dur	ing this site visit?		Yes	No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro				Yes	No
Rate:	*			-	
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ing to EPA Method 9? -			Yes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity					
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	liance with the opacity l	imit? (See chart below)	·	Yes	No
	VE Opaci	itv Limits			
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart (000 EU	
	J	L	I		I

	EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 Subpart OOO	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	12%
All other affected EUs	20%	10%	7%

Emissions Unit Section <u>2 –NMMP Plant-425 hp crusher RIC diesel engine</u>

		(check 🗹	only one
	1	oox for each	question)
1. 2. 3. 4.	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin [Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majori is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Punice: (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.] Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill? Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)? Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983? Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)? Is the EU one of the following? Icrusher, grinding mill, bucket elevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation, strage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station; crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing pl	ng Plants? ty te, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	□No □No □No □No
su	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
6	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Yes	No
	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)? Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	🗌 Yes	No
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour) ? Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or	Yes	No
0.	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	Yes	No

9. Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	No
<i>{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or</i>		
which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operation		
at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materi		
with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from proces.		
of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is we		
solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10. Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	No
		NO
{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
more a substance with water. Subtraced material means material material with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
wer suppression systems is not considered to be saturated for purposes of this definition.		
If answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
If the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	DNo
If answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures,		
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	T Yes	No
riodus, fails, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device.		
If answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14. Initial Tests:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	🗌 No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	- 🗌 Yes	No
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	- 🗌 Yes	No
15. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of	—	—
initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	∐ No
$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the } A$		
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
one or more affected EUs.}	_	_
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?		L.No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?		No
d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	- 🗌 Yes	L.No

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance) 	ng	
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,		
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	Yes	🗌 No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
 a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	No
 and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 	Yes	□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	□ Yes	□No
If yes:		
a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		
the discharge spray nozzles?		
b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?		
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	Yes	No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	No
 21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

	EU not subject to Subpart OOO EU	Subpart ()00 EU	
	VE Opacity Limits			
a. Did the vE test demonstrate comp	nance with the opacity mint: (See chart below).			
	liance with the opacity limit? (See chart below).	Г	Yes	□No
	of% for the highest six-minute average.	L		1NO
	ing to EPA Method 9?	г	Yes	No
a. was the VE test conducted at a pro Rate:	cess rate that is representative of the normal rate	L		LNo
	<i>pector</i> for this unit during this site visit?		Yes Yes	L.No
26 Wag a VE togt and ustad be the two	nastar far this unit during this site -isite	г		□ N-
a. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	liance with the opacity limit? (See chart below).	L	Yes	LNo
	of% for the highest six-minute average.	г		
	ing to EPA Method 9?	L	Yes	L.No
Rate:		-	-	—
-	cess rate that is representative of the normal rate	e? [Yes	L.No
	ner/operator for this unit during this site visit?		Yes	No
-	-			
	thin the current calendar year?		Yes	No
	each of the past 4 calendar years?	[Yes	No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart				
	subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the	past 5 years?	Yes	□No
24. When was the last VE test conducte	d by the owner/operator for this EU?			
of design scrubbing liquid flow	rate.}			
· · ·	nust be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate	ate within +5%		
	annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		Yes	LNo
	ement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the w		V	
and				
pascals +1 inch water gauge pre	ssure.}			
	nust be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate	ate within $+250$		
		-	Yes	L.No
	n calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with		-	—
	ement of the pressure loss of the gas stream thro			
If yes, does the owner/operator mainta	1			
	nissions from the EU?	[Yes	No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from	n non-vent building openings less than or equal	to 7% opacity? [Yes	No
	ance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/		Yes	No
one or more affected EUs.}				
purpose of exhausting from a building	air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions	from		
{A "vent" is any opening through white	ich there is mechanically induced air flow for the	2		_
	·		Yes	🗌 No
• •	med on each vent control device within 180 days	s of		
individually in compliance with emi				
22. If the EU is a building enclosing any	y other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs ar	e not		

	EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 Subpart OOO	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	12%
All other affected EUs	20%	10%	7%

Emissions Unit Section
3 -NMMP Plant-crusher w/RIC diesel engine pwr, 300T/hr capacity

		(check 🗹	only one
		box for each	question)
Is	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processi	ng Plants?	_
1. 2. 3.	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processi {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majori is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Grani Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand ana (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chla and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vernice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.] Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	ty te, ' Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	□No □No □No □No
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	🗌 Yes	No
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		
7	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)? Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	Yes	No
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour) ?	Yes	No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	Yes	No

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or			
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?		Yes	□No
	<i>{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or</i>		105	NO
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operate	<i>bd</i>		
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia			
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi			
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wett			
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}			
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line			
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,	_		_
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	\Box	Yes	No
	<i>{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract</i>			
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic			
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface			
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material			
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by			
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}			
	answer to any of the six Questions 5 - 10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to			
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.			
lf	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.			
11	. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?			
10	Westhe FU constructed modified on according to a profiler 4/22/20082		V	
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?		Yes	No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20			
10				
13	. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures,		Vaa	
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		Yes	No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19			
-				
14	. Initial Tests:			
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of			
	initial startup of the EU? \Box N/A	Н	Yes	
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	H	Yes	L.No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	H	Yes Yes	∐No □No
	a. If yes, was the opacity less than of equal to 7% opacity :		103	
15	. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not			
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:			
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of	_		_
	initial startup of the EU? N/A		Yes	No No
	$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the } A$			
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from			
	one or more affected EUs.}		•	
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	=	Yes	L.No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?		Yes	L.No
1	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	\Box	Yes	L.No

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: Conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; Uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); Conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; Uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); Conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; Conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests u		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,		
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	Yes	🗌 No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
 a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	No
 and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 		□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	Yes	No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		No
resous, rans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		
 21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	 Yes Yes Yes Yes 	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart OOO EU	J
	•		Subpart OOO FI	T
	VE Opac	itv Limits		
	opuologi			
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compl			Yes	□N
b. Was the VE test conducted according.c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of			Yes	N
Rate:	na ta EDA Matha 109			
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	cess rate that is represe	ntative of the normal rate?	Yes	□N
.Was a VE test conducted by the <i>insp</i>				<u> </u>
	and opacity i			
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compl			Yes	N
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of				IN
Rate: b. Was the VE test conducted accordi	ng to EDA Mathad 02		Yes	□N
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	cess rate that is represe	ntative of the normal rate?	Yes	∐N
Was a VE test conducted by the <i>own</i>				N
-				
ii. has the EU been tested yet with				N
i. has the EU been tested during		ndar vears?	Yes	□N
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 sb. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart	1	U been tested within the past 5 y	/ears? Yes	∐N
When was the last VE test conducte				
of design scrubbing liquid flow 1				
{Note: The monitoring device m				
b. a device for the continuous measur device has been calibrated on an				□N
and	amount of the completing	liquid flow note to the wet some	han and the	
pascals +1 inch water gauge pres	ssure.}			
{Note: The monitoring device m		nanufacturer to be accurate with	in +250	
instructions?			Yes	N
scrubber and the device has beer	n calibrated on an annua	I basis in accordance with manu		
a. a device for the continuous measure		oss of the gas stream through the	2	
If yes, does the owner/operator mainta				
.Is a wet scrubber used to control en	ussions from the EU?		Yes	□N
c. Were initial fugitive emissions fror	n non-vent bunding ope	enings less than of equal to 7% of	spacity? res	LI
b. Was the EU found to be in complia				∩N
one or more affected EUs.}	'(1, (1, D)) (1',')	<u>(0.05)</u> (1.0)		
purpose of exhausting from a building	air carrying particulat	e matter (PM) emissions from		
${A "vent" is any opening through whi$				
initial startup of the EU?		N/	A Ses	🗌 N
	filed off cach vent contro	of device within 160 days of		
individually in compliance with emi a. Was an initial PM stack test perform		al davias within 180 dave of		

	EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 Subpart OOO	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	12%
All other affected EUs	20%	10%	7%

Emissions Unit Section
4 NMMP Plant-crusher RIC diesel engine power, hp not provided

Is	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processi	ng Plants?	
	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majority	y v	
	is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit		
	Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and	Gravel;	
	(3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock 3	Salt;	
	(5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo		
	and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax,		
	and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic	ulite;	
	(17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}		
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	∐ Yes	L.No
2.	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	Yes	L.No
3.	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?	Yes	L.No
4.	Is the EU one of the following?	Yes	L.No
	□ crusher, □ grinding mill, □ bucket elevator, □ belt conveyor, □ bagging operation, □ storage bin, □ enclosed truck loading station □ enclosed railcar loading station;		
	crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic		
	minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to,		
	but not including, the first storage silo or bin;		
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing		
	undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining		
	oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping		
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing		
	plant are not considered to be screening operations.)		
	building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in		
	compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through		
	which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building		
	air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
Tf	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
	the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Yes	□No
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		
	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	No
7.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	_	_
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour) ?	Yes	No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or		
	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	Yes	∐No
11			

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,			
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?		Yes	No
	<i>{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or</i>			
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operate			
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material			
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processin			
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wett cololy by yet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for suppress of this definition.	ed		
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}			
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line			
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,			
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?		Yes	No
	<i>{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract</i>			
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic			
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface			
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material			
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by			
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}			
If.	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to			
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.			
	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.			
-				
11	When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?			
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?		Yes	No
If .	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20			
13	Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,			
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		Yes	No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19			
-				
14	Initial Tests:			
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A		Vac	
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	H	Yes Yes	∐ No □No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	H	Yes	No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Ы	Yes	No
15	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not			
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:			
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A		Yes	🗌 No
	<i>A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the</i>		1 00	
1	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from			
1	one or more affected EUs.}			
1	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?		Yes	No
1	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?		Yes	No
Í	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	\Box	Yes	No

<u>4 –NMMP Plant-crusher RIC diesel engine power, hp not provided</u>

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	22; 60.674(d);	
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,		
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity?	N/A 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with n	nanufacturer's	
instructions?		No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}	within +250	
and		
 b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet sc device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's ins {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 	tructions ? 🗌 Yes	No
10 Is mot summarian used to control amissions from the EU2		
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to	`	
the discharge spray nozzles?)	
b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?		
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action tak	en	
recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the follow questions and go directly to Question 24.	ing	
20.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control defined to the system of the system of the system.		No
21. Initial Tests:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		_
initial startup of the EU?		∐ No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 g/dscm)		LNo
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?		L.No
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	LNo

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of	_	_
initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	No No
$\{A $ "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the		
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
one or more affected EUs.}		
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	Yes	No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		
instructions?	Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250	<u> </u>	
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and th	A	
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ?	Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate. }		
of design serubbing inquid now rate.		
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU?		
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:		
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?	\square Yes	No
n. has the 10 been tested yet within the current calendar year.		
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	Yes	No
Rate:		
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Yes	No
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity milit: (See chart below).		
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	☐ Yes	No
Rate:		
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Yes	No

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS		(check \square only one box for each question)	
1.	 Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor 		
	drop points)? N/A If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring?	∐ Yes	∐ No
	 b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to provent a antrainment, and from building or work 	Yes Yes	D No No
	of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	Yes	🗌 No
	particulate matter from stock piles? N/A	Yes	🗌 No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □No

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY (check \square only one box for each question) 1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? ----- Yes ...No b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? ------...No c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? ------ TYes ...No 2. Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? ------ Yes ...No If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? ----- Yes ...No If YES, what other general permit units or activities?

3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:
	a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? YesNo
	b) 23,000 gallons of gasoline? YesNo
	c) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? Yes
	d) 1.3 million gallons of propane? Yes
	e) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? Yes
() gal diesel/yr + () gal gasoline/yr + () MM SCF nat. gas/yr + () MM gal propane/yr ≤ 1.00 ?
27	75,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane/yr
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumption
	for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years? Yes

(SENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹	
1	. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or	box for each	question)
	Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	- 🗌 Yes	□No
2	2. Does the owner or operator:	103	
	a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	🗌 Yes	No
3	 b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit? Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access 		DNo
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	🗌 Yes	No

	ELOCATABLE PLANT The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.)	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
2.	 For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation?	6)]	□No □No
3.	If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operate permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose? If YES, what was the purpose? {Note: crushing recycled asphalt pavement (rap) at an asphalt plant is considered routine and so therefore must be authorized in the facility's air construction or operation permit.}		No
	 b) were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes Yes	□No □No

	HANGES dministrative Changes:	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)	
1.	Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represent associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions up operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?	nits or	No	
2.	If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?		No	
Ne	New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:			
3.	Since the last registration form submittal has there been			
	a) Installation of any new process equipment?	🗌 Yes	No	
	b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	🗌 Yes	No	
	c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?	🗌 Yes	No	
	d) A change in ownership?	Ves	No	
4.	If the answer to any question 3a d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee sul	bmitted		
	30 days prior to the change?	Yes	No	

John Vigliotti

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

08/03/2011

Date of Inspection

08/2016

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Facility could not provide me with proper personell to review permit file requirements. Could not do proper file review or facility inspection. No knowledgeable manager on site at time this inspection.